PLAN CONFORMANCE/NEPA COMPLIANCE RECORD

BLM Office: Sevier River Resource Area, Richfield District

Case File No.: UT-056-2P

Proposed Action Title\Type: Modification of Plan of Operations
Location of Propose Action: T. 23 S., R. 1 W.; T. 22 S., R. 1 W.,

SLM

Discription of the Proposed Action: Dispose of reject gypsum wall board on unpatented mining claim located on public land

Applicant (If Any): Georgia-Pacific Corporation

PART I: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW. This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan:

Name of Plan: Mountain Valley MFP

Date Approved: 1981

The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).

Michael Jackson

mg

Name(s) of Reviewer(s)

Remarks: This action is in conformance with the existing land use plan.

PART II NEPA REVIEW.

- A. Categorical exclusion review. Not applicable.
- B. Existing EA\EIS review. This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA\EIS:

Name of Document: UT-050-81-42, Environmental Assessment,

Georgia-Pacific Corporation, 3809 Plan of

Operations, UT-056-2P

Date Approved: March 30, 1981

This EA\EIS has been reviewed against the following criteria to determine if it covers the proposed action:

- The proposed action is a feature of, or essentially the same as, the alternative selected and analyzed in the existing document.
- A reasonable range of alternatives was analyzed in the existing document.
- There has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action.
- 4. The methodology\analytical approach previously used is appropriate for the proposed action.
- 5. The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed action are not significantly different than those identified in the existing document.
- 6. The proposed action would not change the previously analysis of

cumulative impacts.

Public involvement in the previous analysis provides appropriate coverage for the proposed action.

Michael Jackson Mg

Surname(s) of Reviewers(s)

Remarks: See attached Optional EA

C. FONSI: I have reviewed this environmental assessment and tiered documents including the explanation and resolution of any potentially significant environmental impacts. I have determined that the proposed action with the mitigation measures described will not have any significant impacts on the human environment and that an EIS is not required.

Arga Manager, Sevier River Resource Area

Remarks: See mitigating measures in optional EA.

III. DECISION: It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures.

Area Manager, Sevier River Resource Area